

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11118

Claimant: 1150 LOMBARD STREET APARTMENTS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11119

Claimant: BLUE CROSS BLUE SHIELD BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11120

Claimant: JENNY EDMUNDSON HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11121

Claimant: 1ST NATIONAL BANK,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11122

Claimant: FOUNTAIN HILL NURSING HOME,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11123

Claimant: FIRST NATIONAL BANK BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11124

Claimant: LACROSSE LUTHERAN HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11125

Claimant: HOSPITAL IN CARROLL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11126

Claimant: BLUE CROSS BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11127

Claimant: BLUE CROSS BLUE SHIELD,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11128

Claimant: ARKANSAS BAPTIST MEDICAL CENTER,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11129

Claimant: TRANSAMERICA LIFE INS CO. FKA NAT. OLD L,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11130

Claimant: 1900 AVE OF THE STARS OFFICE BLDG.,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11131

Claimant: WILLIS-KNIGHT HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11132

Claimant: 201 N. FRONT STREET BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11133

Claimant: ABBEVILLE HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11134

Claimant: CAUSEWAY BUILDING #2,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11135

Claimant: CENTRAL PLAZA,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11136

Claimant: BELMONT TELEPHONE,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11137

Claimant: 1ST NATIONAL BANK TOWER,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11138

Claimant: WILLIAMS CENTER,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11139

Claimant: TULSA CIVIC CENTER,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11140

Claimant: PERFORMING ARTS CENTER,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11141

Claimant: GREENVILLE HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11142

Claimant: GRANZIANO BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11143

Claimant: FIDELITY BANK & TRUST CO.,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11144

Claimant: FARRELL HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11145

Claimant: NEW BRITAIN GENERAL HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11146

Claimant: LARGO PROPERTIES,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11147

Claimant: HOUSING FOR ELDERLY,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11148

Claimant: GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11149

Claimant: GANT SHIRT COMPANY,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11150

Claimant: EMPLOYERS MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11151

Claimant: ST. ANTHONY'S HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11152

Claimant: DES MOINES SAVINGS & LOAN,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11153

Claimant: YMCA,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11154

Claimant: STADIUM IN STORM LAKE,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11155

Claimant: BENEFIT TRUST,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11156

Claimant: AUDY HOME,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11157

Claimant: GEAUGA COMMUNITY HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11158

Claimant: FULTON COUNTY HEALTH CENTER,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11159

Claimant: DEVELOPMENT CENTER FOR MENTALLY RETARDED,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11160

Claimant: DAUGHTER OF ST. PAUL BOOK STORE,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11161

Claimant: ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11162

Claimant: AKRON CASCADE TOWERS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11163

Claimant: ELKTON HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11164

Claimant: IVERSON TOWERS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11165

Claimant: ROSENSTOCK HALL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11166

Claimant: UNION HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11167

Claimant: REGENCY 2,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11168

Claimant: REGENCY SOUTHLAKE,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11169

Claimant: RAMADA INN,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11170

Claimant: GUARANTEE MUTUAL LIFE COMPANY,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11171

Claimant: FIRST NATIONAL BANK,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11172

Claimant: ANDERSON, STEWART F

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11173

Claimant: KINGS MOUNTAIN HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11174

Claimant: TOLEDO EDISON,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11175

Claimant: SUPERIOR SQUARE BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11176

Claimant: STELLA-MARG BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11177

Claimant: SHAKER HEIGHTS POLICE STATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11178

Claimant: OHIO BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11179

Claimant: INVESTMENT TOWER JOB,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11180

Claimant: UNITED BANK,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11181

Claimant: OHIO VALLEY GENERAL HOSPITAL- NEW ADM BL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11182

Claimant: OHIO VALLEY GENERAL HOSPITAL- NEW ADM BL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11183

Claimant: BROOKSHIRE MEDICAL CENTER,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11184

Claimant: ARLINGTON HEIGHTS RACETRACK,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11185

Claimant: BANK OF OKLAHOMA,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11186

Claimant: YORK HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.